

FREE ELECTRON LASERS IN 2012

J. Blau[#], K. Cohn, W. B. Colson, A. Laney and J. Wilcox
Physics Department, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey CA 93943 USA

Abstract

Thirty-six years after the first operation of the free electron laser (FEL) at Stanford University, there continue to be many important experiments, proposed experiments, and user facilities around the world. Properties of FELs operating in the infrared, visible, UV, and X-ray wavelength regimes are tabulated and discussed.

The following tables list existing (Table 1) and proposed (Tables 2, 3) relativistic free electron lasers (FELs) in 2012. The 1st column lists a location or institution, and the FEL's name in parentheses. References are listed in Tables 4 and 5; another useful reference is http://sbfel3.ucsb.edu/www/v1_fel.html.

The 2nd column of each table lists the operating wavelength λ , or wavelength range. The longer wavelength FELs are listed at the top and the shorter wavelength FELs at the bottom of each table. The large range of operating wavelengths, seven orders of magnitude, indicates the flexible design characteristics of the FEL mechanism.

In the 3rd column, t_b is the electron bunch duration (FWHM) at the beginning of the undulator, and ranges from almost CW to short sub-picosecond time scales. The expected optical pulse length in an FEL oscillator can be several times shorter or longer than the electron bunch depending on the optical cavity Q, the FEL desynchronization and gain. The optical pulse can be many times shorter in a high-gain FEL amplifier. Also, if the FEL is in an electron storage-ring, the optical pulse is typically much shorter than the electron bunch. Most FEL oscillators produce an optical spectrum that is Fourier transform limited by the optical pulse length.

The electron beam kinetic energy E and peak current I are listed in the 4th and 5th columns, respectively. The next three columns list the number of undulator periods N , the undulator wavelength λ_0 , and the rms undulator parameter $K=eB\lambda_0/2\pi mc^2$ (cgs units), where e is the electron charge magnitude, B is the rms undulator field strength, m is the electron mass, and c is the speed of

light. For an FEL klystron undulator, there are multiple undulator sections as listed in the N-column; for example 2x7. Some undulators used for harmonic generation have multiple sections with varying N , λ_0 , and K values as shown. Some FELs operate at a range of wavelengths by varying the undulator gap as indicated in the table by a range of values for K . The FEL resonance condition, $\lambda = \lambda_0(1+K^2)/2\gamma^2$, relates the fundamental wavelength λ to K , λ_0 , and the electron beam energy $E=(\gamma-1)mc^2$, where γ is the relativistic Lorentz factor. Some FELs achieve shorter wavelengths by using coherent harmonic generation (CHG), high-gain harmonic generation (HG), or echo-enabled harmonic generation (EEHG).

The last column lists the accelerator types and FEL types, using the abbreviations listed after Table 3.

The FEL optical power is determined by the fraction of the electron beam energy extracted and the pulse repetition frequency. For the conventional oscillator in steady-state, the extraction can be estimated by $1/(2N)$; for the high-gain FEL amplifier, the extraction at saturation can be substantially greater. In a storage ring FEL, the extraction at saturation is substantially less than this estimate and depends on ring properties.

In the FEL oscillator, the optical mode that best couples to the electron beam in an undulator of length $L=N\lambda_0$ has a Rayleigh length $z_0 \approx L/12^{1/2}$ and has a fundamental mode waist radius $w_0 \approx (z_0\lambda/\pi)^{1/2}$. The FEL typically has more than 90% of the power in the fundamental mode.

At the 2012 FEL Conference, there were three new lasings reported worldwide: a mid-IR FEL at the Fritz-Haber-Institut (FHI) in Berlin, a THz FEL (FLARE) at Radboud University in Nijmegen, and a direct seeded VUV FEL (sFLASH) at DESY in Hamburg. Progress continues on many other existing and proposed FELs, including several large X-ray FEL facilities around the world.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for support from ONR.

[#]blau@nps.edu

Table 1: Existing Free Electron Lasers (2012)

| LOCATION (NAME) | $\lambda(\mu\text{m})$ | $t_p(\text{ps})$ | E(MeV) | I(A) | N | $\lambda_p(\text{cm})$ | K(rms) | Type |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|-----------|------------|------------------------|------------|----------|
| Frascati (FEL-CATS) | 430-760 | 15-20 | 2.5 | 5 | 16 | 2.5 | 0.5-1.4 | RF |
| UCSB (mm FEL) | 340 | 25000 | 6 | 2 | 42 | 7.1 | 0.7 | EA,O |
| Novosibirsk (FEL1) | 120-240 | 50 | 12 | 8 | 2x33 | 12 | 0.71 | ERL,O |
| Nijmegen (FLARE) | 100-1400 | 3 | 10-15 | 50 | 40 | 11 | 0.5-3.3 | RF,O |
| KAERI (THz FEL) | 100-1200 | 20 | 4.5-6.7 | 0.5 | 80 | 2.5 | 1.0-1.6 | MA,O |
| Osaka (ISIR, SASE) | 70-220 | 20-30 | 11 | 1000 | 32 | 6 | 1.5 | RF,S |
| Himeji (LEENA) | 65-75 | 10 | 5.4 | 10 | 50 | 1.6 | 0.5 | RF,O |
| UCSB (FIR FEL) | 60 | 25000 | 6 | 2 | 150 | 2 | 0.1 | EA,O |
| Osaka (ILE/ILT) | 47 | 3 | 8 | 50 | 50 | 2 | 0.5 | RF,O |
| Novosibirsk (FEL2) | 40-80 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 33 | 12 | 1.0 | ERL,O |
| Osaka (ISIR) | 32-150 | 20-30 | 13-19 | 50 | 32 | 6 | 1.5 | RF,O |
| Tokai (JAEA-FEL) | 22 | 2.5-5 | 17 | 200 | 52 | 3.3 | 0.7 | RF,O |
| Bruyeres (ELSA) | 20 | 30 | 18 | 100 | 30 | 3.2 | 0.8 | RF,O |
| Osaka (iFEL4) | 18-40 | 10 | 33 | 40 | 30 | 8 | 1.3-1.7 | RF,O |
| LANL (RAFEL) | 15.5 | 15 | 17 | 300 | 200 | 2 | 0.9 | RF,O |
| Kyoto (KU-FEL) | 5-14.5 | <1 | 20-36 | 17-40 | 53 | 3.3 | 1.05 | RF,O |
| Darmstadt (FEL) | 6-8 | 2 | 25-50 | 2.7 | 80 | 3.2 | 1.0 | RF,O |
| Osaka (iFEL1) | 5.5 | 10 | 33.2 | 42 | 58 | 3.4 | 1.0 | RF,O |
| Beijing (BFEL) | 5-25 | 4 | 30 | 15-20 | 50 | 3 | 0.5-0.8 | RF,O |
| Daresbury (ALICE) | 5-8 | ~1 | 27.5 | 80 | 40 | 2.7 | 0.35-0.9 | ERL,O |
| Dresden U100 | 18-280 | 1-4 | 15-34 | 15 | 38 | 10 | 0.5-2.7 | RF,O |
| (FELBE) U27 | 4-21 | 1-4 | 15-34 | 15 | 68 | 2.73 | 0.3-0.7 | RF,O |
| Berlin (FHI MIR FEL) | 4-50 | 1-5 | 15-50 | 200 | 50 | 4 | 0.5-1.5 | RF,O |
| Tokyo (MIR-FEL) | 4-16 | 2 | 32-40 | 30 | 43 | 3.2 | 0.7-1.8 | RF,O |
| FOM (FELIX) | 3-250 | 1 | 50 | 50 | 38 | 6.5 | 1.8 | RF,O |
| Orsay (CLIO) | 3-150 | 10 | 12-50 | 100 | 38 | 5 | ≤1.4 | RF,O |
| FOM (FELICE) | 3-40 | 1 | 60 | 50 | 48 | 6.0 | 1.8 | RF,O |
| Osaka (iFEL2) | 1.88 | 10 | 68 | 42 | 78 | 3.8 | 1.0 | RF,O |
| Nihon (LEBRA) | 0.8-6.5 | 1 | 58-100 | 10-20 | 50 | 4.8 | 0.7-1.4 | RF,O |
| Tsukuba (ETLOK-III) | 0.85-1.45 | 90 | 310 | 1-3 | 2x7 | 20 | 1-2 | SR,O,K |
| UCLA-BNL (VISA) | 0.8 | 0.5 | 64-72 | 250 | 220 | 1.8 | 1.2 | RF,S |
| JLab (IR upgrade) | 0.7-10 | 0.35 | 120 | 300 | 30 | 5.5 | 3.0 | ERL,O |
| DELTA (FELICITA-I) | 0.42-0.47 | 50 | 450-550 | 90 | 2x7 | 25 | 1.4-1.7 | SR,O,K |
| SINAP (SDUV-FEL) | 0.35 | 1 | 135 | 50-100 | 360 | 2.5 | 0.98 | RF,A,H,E |
| Osaka (iFEL3) | 0.3-0.7 | 5 | 155 | 60 | 67 | 4 | 1.4 | RF,O |
| JLab (UV demo) | 0.25-0.7 | 0.35 | 135 | 200 | 60 | 3.3 | 1.3 | ERL,O |
| Duke (OK-5) | 0.25-0.79 | 5-20 | 270-800 | 10-50 | 2x30 | 12 | 3.18 | SR,O,K |
| BNL (SDL FEL) | 0.2-1.0 | 0.5-1 | 100-250 | 300-400 | 256 | 3.9 | 0.8 | RF,A,S,H |
| Okazaki (UVSOR-II) | 0.2-0.8 | 6 | 600-750 | 28.3 | 2x9 | 11 | 2.6-4.5 | SR,O,K |
| Tsukuba (ETLOK-II) | 0.2-0.6 | 55 | 310 | 1-3 | 2x42 | 7.2 | 1-1.4 | SR,O,K |
| DELTA (U250) | 0.2 | 100 | 1500 | 40 | 2x7 | 25 | 7.3-10 | SR,K,H |
| Duke (OK-4) | 0.19-0.4 | 50 | 1200 | 35 | 2x33 | 10 | 4.75 | SR,O,K |
| ELETTRA (EUFELE) | 0.09-0.26 | 70 | 1000 | 150 | 2x19 | 10 | 4.2 | SR,A,K,H |
| Frascati (SPARC) | 0.066-0.5 | 0.5-8 | 115-177 | 40-380 | 450 | 2.8 | 2.2 | RF,A,S,H |
| DESY (sFLASH) | 0.038 | 0.5 | 700 | 1000 | 180 120 | 3.1 3.3 | 1.9 2.1 | RF,A |
| SPring-8 (SCSS) | 0.03-0.06 | 1 | 250 | 300 | 600 | 1.5 | 0.3-1.06 | RF,S |
| ELETTRA (FERMI-1) | 0.02-0.08 | 0.7-1.2 | 1250 | 300-600 | 252 | 5.5 | 1-3 | RF,A,H |
| DESY (FLASH I) | 0.0041 | 0.05 | 1250 | 2000 | 984 | 2.73 | 0.87 | RF,S |
| SLAC (LCLS) | 0.00012 | 0.07 | 15400 | 3500 | 3696 | 3 | 2.5 | RF,S |
| SPring-8 (SACLA) | 0.0001 | 0.02-0.03 | 8300 | 3000-4000 | 4986 | 1.8 | 1.06 | RF,S |

Table 2: Proposed Free Electron Lasers (2012)

| PROPOSED FELs | $\lambda(\mu\text{m})$ | $t_b(\text{ps})$ | E(MeV) | I(A) | N | $\lambda_0(\text{cm})$ | K(rms) | Type |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------|--------|------|------------------------|---------|-------|
| KAERI (THz-FEL) | 400-600 | 20 | 6.5 | 1 | 28 | 2.3-2.6 | 2.1-2.4 | MA,O |
| Tokyo (FIR-FEL) | 300-1000 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 25 | 7 | 1.5-3.4 | RF,O |
| Colorado State University | 200-1000 | 5-15 | 6 | 100 | 50 | 2.5 | 1.0 | RF,O |
| India (CUTE-FEL) | 50-100 | 1000 | 10-15 | 20 | 50 | 5 | 0.57 | RF,O |
| Berlin (FHI FIR FEL) | 40-500 | 1-5 | 20-50 | 200 | 40 | 11 | 1-3 | RF,O |
| Novosibirsk (FEL3) | 5-30 | 10 | 40 | 20-100 | 3x33 | 6 | 2.0 | ERL,O |
| Beijing (PKU-FEL) | 4.7-8.3 | 1 | 30 | 60 | 50 | 3 | 0.5-1.4 | ERL,O |
| Turkey (TACIR I) | 2.7-30 | 1-10 | 40 | 8-80 | 56 | 3 | 0.2-0.8 | RF,O |
| (TACIR II) | 10-190 | 1-10 | 40 | 12-120 | 40 | 9 | 0.4-2.5 | |
| Tallahassee (Big Light) | 2-1500 | 1-10 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 5.5 | 4.0 | ERL,O |
| Daresbury (CLARA) | 0.1-0.4 | 0.5 | 250 | 400 | 500 | 2.9 | 0.7-1.5 | RF,A |

Table 3: Proposed Short Wavelength Free Electron Lasers (2012)

| PROPOSED FELs | $\lambda(\text{nm})$ | $t_b(\text{ps})$ | E(GeV) | I(kA) | N | $\lambda_0(\text{cm})$ | K(rms) | Type |
|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| JLab (JLAMP) | 10-100 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1 | 330 | 3.3 | 1.0 | ERL,O,A |
| ELETTRA (FERMI-2) | 4-20 | 0.7 | 1.2-1.5 | 0.8 | 396 | 3.5 | 1-1.7 | RF,A,H |
| Rome (SPARX 1) | 10-30 | 0.2-0.01 | 0.96-1.5 | 1 | 715 | 3.4 | 0.2-2.3 | RF,S |
| SINAP (SXFEL) | 8.8 | 0.26 | 0.84 | 0.6 | 720 | 2.5 | 0.95 | RF,H,E |
| DESY (FLASH II) | 4-80 | 0.15 | 0.5-1.2 | 2.5 | 768 | 3.14 | 0.5-2 | RF,S,H |
| Wisconsin (WiFEL) | 2.3-6.9 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1 | 788 | 3.3 | 0.74-1.9 | RF,H |
| Glasgow (ALPHA-X) | 2-300 | 0.001-0.005 | 0.10-1.0 | 1 | 200 | 1.5 | 0.5 | PW,A |
| LBNL (NGLS) | 1-5 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 2300 | 1.9 | 1.4 | RF,S,H |
| Rome (SPARX 2) | 1-14 | 0.2-0.01 | 0.96-2.6 | 1-2.3 | 220 900 400 | 4.0 2.8 2.2 | 3.1 1.63 1.34 | RF,S |
| Groningen (ZFEL) | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1-2.1 | 1.5 | 2600 | 1.5 | 0.85 | RF,S,H |
| Rome (SPARX 3) | 0.6-1.6 | 0.2-0.01 | 1.5-2.4 | 2.3 | 2520 | 1.5 | 0.91 | RF,S |
| PSI (SwissFEL Athos) | 0.7-7 | 0.002-0.015 | 2.5-3.4 | 1.5-2.7 | 1200 | 4 | 0.7-2.5 | RF,S,E |
| (SwissFEL Aramis) | 0.1-0.7 | 0.002-0.015 | 2.1-5.8 | 1.5-2.7 | 3192 | 1.5 | 0.85 | RF,S |
| Pohang (PAL SXFEL) | 1-10 | 0.06-0.18 | 2.6-3.2 | 1-3 | 1300 | 3.43 | 1.6-3.4 | RF,S |
| (PAL HXFEL) | 0.06-1 | 0.045-0.09 | 4-10 | 2-4 | 4100 | 2.44 | 1.3-2.1 | RF,S |
| DESY (Europe XFEL) | 0.05-0.1 | 0.1 | 17.5 | 5 | 4700 | 3.65 | 3.3 | RF,S |
| LANL (MaRIE) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 12 | 3.4 | 3200 | 1.86 | 0.86 | RF,S,H,E |

Accelerator type:

MA - Microtron Accelerator
 ERL - Energy Recovery Linear Accelerator
 EA - Electrostatic Accelerator
 RF - Radio-Frequency Linear Accelerator
 SR - Electron Storage Ring
 PW - Laser Plasma Wakefield Accelerator

FEL type:

A - FEL Amplifier
 K - FEL Klystron
 O - FEL Oscillator
 S - Self-Amplified Spontaneous Emission (SASE)
 H - Harmonic Generation (CHG, HGHG)
 E - Echo-Enabled Harmonic Generation (EEHG)

Table 4: References and Websites for Existing FELs

| LOCATION (NAME) | Internet Site or Reference |
|------------------------|--|
| Beijing (BFEL) | http://www.ihep.ac.cn/english/BFEL/index.htm |
| Berlin (FHI MIR) | http://fel.fhi-berlin.mpg.de |
| BNL (SDL FEL) | http://sdl.nsls.bnl.gov |
| Bruyeres (ELSA) | P. Guimbal et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A341 , 43 (1994). |
| Daresbury (ALICE) | http://www.stfc.ac.uk/ASTeC/Alice/projects/36060.aspx |
| Darmstadt (FEL) | M. Brunken et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A429 , 21 (1999). |
| DELTA (FELICITA-I) | D. Nölle et al., Nucl. Inst. And Meth. A445 , 128 (2000). |
| DELTA (U250) | H. Huck et al., Proceedings of FEL 2011, Shanghai, China. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/FEL2011/papers/mooa5.pdf |
| DESY (FLASH, sFLASH) | http://flash.desy.de |
| Dresden (FELBE) | http://www.hzdr.de/FELBE |
| Duke (OK-4, OK-5) | http://www.fel.duke.edu |
| ELETTRA (EUFELE) | http://www.elettra.trieste.it/elettra-beamlines/fel.html |
| ELETTRA (FERMI-1) | http://www.elettra.trieste.it/FERMI |
| FOM (FELICE, FELIX) | http://www.rijnhuizen.nl/felix |
| Frascati (FEL-CATS) | http://www.frascati.enea.it/fis/lac/fel/fel2.htm |
| Frascati (SPARC) | http://www.roma1.infn.it/exp/xfel |
| Himeji (LEENA) | T. Inoue et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A528 , 402 (2004). |
| JLab (IR upgrade) | G. R. Neil et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A557 , 9 (2006). |
| JLab (UV demo) | S. V. Benson et al., Proceedings of FEL 2011, Shanghai, China. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/FEL2011/papers/weoci1.pdf |
| KAERI (THz FEL) | Y. U. Jeong et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A575 , 58 (2007). |
| Kyoto (KU-FEL) | http://wonda.iae.kyoto-u.ac.jp/index-e.html |
| LANL (RAFEL) | D. C. Nguyen et al., Proceedings of LINAC 2000, Monterey, CA, USA. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/100/papers/TH301.pdf |
| Nihon (LEBRA) | K. Hayakawa et al., Proceedings of FEL 2007, Novosibirsk, Russia. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/f07/papers/MOPPH046.pdf |
| Nijmegen (FLARE) | http://www.ru.nl/flare |
| Novosibirsk (FEL1) | N. G. Gavrilov et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A575 , 54 (2007). |
| Novosibirsk (FEL2) | N. A. Vinokurov et al., Proceedings of FEL 2009, Liverpool, UK. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/FEL2009/papers/tuod01.pdf |
| Okazaki (UVSOR- II) | H. Zen et al., Proceedings of FEL 2009, Liverpool, UK. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/FEL2009/papers/wepc36.pdf |
| Orsay (CLIO) | http://clio.lcp.u-psud.fr |
| Osaka (iFEL4) | T. Takii et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A407 , 21 (1998). |
| Osaka (iFEL1,2,3) | H. Horiike et al., Proceedings of FEL 2004, Trieste, Italy. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/f04/papers/THPOS17/THPOS17.pdf |
| Osaka (ILE/ILT) | N. Ohigashi et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A375 , 469 (1996). |
| Osaka (ISIR) | R. Kato et al., Proceedings of FEL 2007, Novosibirsk, Russia. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/f07/papers/FRAAU04.pdf |
| SINAP (SDUV-FEL) | Z. T. Zhao and D. Wang, Proceedings of FEL 2010, Malmo, Sweden. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/FEL2010/papers/moobi1.pdf |
| SLAC (LCLS) | http://lcls.slac.stanford.edu |
| SPring-8 (SCSS, SACLA) | http://www.riken.jp/XFEL/eng/index.html |
| Tokai (JAEA-FEL) | R. Hajima et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A507 , 115 (2003). |
| Tokyo (MIR-FEL) | http://www.rs.noda.tus.ac.jp/fel-tus/English/E-Top.html |
| Tsukuba (ETLOK-II) | K. Yamada et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A528 , 268 (2004). |
| Tsukuba (ETLOK-III) | N. Sei, H. Ogawa and K. Yamada, Optics Letters 34 , 1843 (2009). |
| UCLA-BNL (VISA) | A. Tremaine et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A483 , 24 (2002). |
| UCSB (mm, FIR FEL) | http://sbfel3.ucsb.edu |

Table 5: References and Websites for Proposed FELs

| LOCATION (NAME) | Internet Site or Reference |
|------------------------------|--|
| Beijing (PKU-FEL) | Z. Liu et al., Proceedings of FEL 2006, Berlin, Germany. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/f06/papers/TUAAU05.pdf |
| Berlin (FHI FIR) | http://fel.fhi-berlin.mpg.de |
| Colorado State University | S. Milton et. al., Proceedings of FEL 2012, Nara, Japan. http://www.jacow.org |
| Daresbury (CLARA) | J. A. Clarke et. al., Proceedings of IPAC 2012, New Orleans, LA, USA. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/IPAC2012/papers/tuppp066.pdf |
| DESY (FLASH II) | B. Faatz et al., Proceedings of INAC 2010, Kyoto, Japan. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/accelconf/IPAC10/papers/tupe005.pdf |
| DESY (Europe XFEL) | http://www.xfel.eu |
| ELETTRA (FERMI-2) | http://www.elettra.trieste.it/FERMI |
| Glasgow (ALPHA-X) | http://phys.strath.ac.uk/alpha-x/ |
| Groningen (ZFEL) | J. P. M. Beijers et al., Proceedings of FEL 2010, Malmo, Sweden. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/FEL2010/papers/mopc22.pdf |
| India (CUTE-FEL) | S. Krishnagopal and V. Kumar, Proceedings of FEL 2007, Novosibirsk, Russia. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/accelconf/f07/papers/MOPPH074.pdf |
| JLab (JLAMP) | S. V. Benson et al., Proceedings of FEL 2009, Liverpool, UK. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/accelconf/FEL2009/papers/mopc70.pdf |
| KAERI (THz-FEL) | Y. U. Jeong et al., Proceedings of FEL 2012, Nara, Japan. http://www.jacow.org |
| LANL (MaRIE) | http://marie.lanl.gov |
| LBNL (NGLS) | J. N. Corlett et al., Proceedings of IPAC 2010, Kyoto, Japan. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/accelconf/IPAC10/papers/wepea067.pdf |
| Novosibirsk (FEL3) | N. G. Gavrilov et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A575 , 54 (2007). |
| Pohang (PAL XFEL) | J.-H. Han et. al., Proceedings of IPAC 2012, New Orleans, LA, USA. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/accelconf/IPAC2012/papers/tuppp061.pdf |
| PSI (SwissFEL Athos, Aramis) | http://www.psi.ch/swissfel |
| Rome (SPARX 1, 2, 3) | http://www.sparx-fel.it |
| SINAP (SX-FEL) | Z. T. Zhao and D. Wang, Proceedings of FEL 2010, Malmo, Sweden. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/FEL2010/papers/moobi1.pdf |
| Tallahassee (Big Light) | http://www.magnet.fsu.edu/usershub/scientificdivisions/emr/facilities/fel.html |
| Tokyo (FIR-FEL) | http://www.rs.noda.tus.ac.jp/fel-tus/English/E-Top.html |
| Turkey (TACIR I & II) | A. Aksoy, O. Karsli and O. Yavas, Infrared Phys. Technol. 51 , 378 (2008). |
| Wisconsin (WiFEL) | http://www.wifel.wisc.edu |