

## ILC TESTING PROGRAM AT CORNELL UNIVERSITY\*

Z.A. Conway, E.P. Chojnacki, D.L. Hartill, M.U. Liepe, H.S. Padamsee, A.S. Romanenko,  
and J.O. Sears, CLASSE, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14853, U.S.A.

### Abstract

Cornell University's superconducting cavity program is one contributor to the global collaborative effort on critical SRF R&D for the ILC. We are conducting R&D in support of the baseline cavity development as well as several alternate cavity development paths. For the baseline program we are preparing and testing ILC 9-cell cavities. We have developed a new quench detection system and successfully applied it to find quench producing defects in 9-cell and 1-cell cavities followed by optical examination to locate the corresponding irregular features on the cavity surface. We have successfully repaired a 9-cell cavity using tumbling to raise the accelerating gradient from 15 to above 30 MV/m. We have identified quench producing defects in single-cell cavities using our large-scale thermometry system and subsequently extracted the defect region for SEM inspection. For the alternate R&D, we are developing reentrant cavity shaped with 70 mm and 60 mm apertures, and a simpler, potentially less expensive electropolishing method called vertical electropolishing. We are also assisting in developing new cavity vendors by rapidly testing single-cell cavities they produced to qualify their fabrication methods.

### INTRODUCTION

In the early-1990's the Cornell SRF group helped initiate the development of  $\beta = 1$  cavities for TESLA. This work continues today supporting the ILC S0 goal of reproducibly producing high-Q  $E_{acc} > 35$  MV/m superconducting niobium cavities set forth by the ILC-GDE [1]. The critical S0 high-gradient project is addressing and advancing the major technical issues limiting the technology, including niobium cavity material, design, fabrication, processing, handling, and testing.

Cornell has many unique facilities in support of the collaboration activities. This paper will review the Cornell programs/facilities and give examples of their recent employment in support of the ILC high-gradient goals.

First, we review a new method developed for quench detection in 9-cell and 1-cell cavities, followed by optical inspection [2]. We compare the defect imaging capability with more detailed imaging by SEM after extraction of a defect from a single cell cavity. Next we discuss the repair of one 9-cell cavity by tumbling [3]. Finally, we discuss the tests performed at Cornell on multi-cell reentrant cavities and review the unique Cornell cavity processing features, a vertical electropolish (VEP)

apparatus [4]. We have shown that individual cells of a 9-cell have surpassed 35 MV/m after the VEP treatment.

### A NEW METHOD FOR DEFECT LOCATION

We are following the general ILC R&D strategy for raising the high-gradient cavity yield by locating the quench producing defects in low low-performing cavities, followed by optical inspection and repair. In the past year we have assembled and demonstrated a new and efficient quench-spot location system using 2<sup>nd</sup> sound in superfluid helium. This system employs an array of oscillating superleak transducers to detect the second sound waves generated during quench, figure 1. The distance between three or more transducers and the quench-spot is determined by measuring the time-of-flight between the arrival of the second sound wave at each transducer and the cavity quench location. With this information the quench location can be unambiguously determined in three dimensions.

The unique 2<sup>nd</sup> sound defect location system was employed on 30 cold tests in the past year. Subsequent optical inspections of the second sound located quench-spots have found pits, bumps, and areas with no optically visible defects at all. This work is reviewed in detail in reference [2]. Figure 2 shows one of the pits observed with the optical Questar based system.

### DEFECT EXTRACTION FROM A SINGLE-CELL AND SEM INSPECTION

After preparing a single-cell 1.5 GHz cavity with VEP and testing with our standard thermometry system with 760 fixed thermometers [5] we identified a quench spot and the pre-heating at this quench spot from temperature maps taken out to  $E_{acc} = 29$  MV/m. After extracting from the cavity a 1 cm<sup>2</sup> sample centered on the quench region we examined the sample in the SEM and found a large pit near the equator weld, as shown in Figure 3. We can see many interesting details of the pit with the SEM. Such features do not show up with optical remote distance detection; see Figure 4 for an example. Hence the optical system can only serve a rough but useful guide to the detailed nature of defects.

### 9-CELL DEFECT REPAIR BY TUMBLING

Cornell's work with single cell cavities [2] discussed later shows that "bump-like" defects can be fixed with additional etching, e.g. BCP. However, there is mounting evidence that there are pit-like defects which cannot be fixed with heavy EP. Several ideas are currently under investigation to fix such defects: centrifugal barrel polishing, local grinding/polishing, electron-beam

\*Work supported by The U.S. Department of Energy and The National Science Foundation.

#zac22@cornell.edu

melting, laser melting, tumbling, etc. We employed a simple cavity tumbling apparatus which is cheap and simple to operate. The apparatus was initially developed to tumble two-cell cavities for the ERL Injector cryomodule [6]. It was modified to tumble cavities with one to nine cells. In this configuration the Cornell




Figure 1: A quench event. Four traces are shown: 1) the upper trace is the quenching-cavity transmitted power, 2-4) the lower traces are transducer second sound signals.




Figure 2: The 9-cell reentrant cavity pit defect.




Figure 3: Pit defect found at quench location in a single cell cavity. The bar is 500 microns.

tumbling apparatus removes ~10 μm of surface material per day at the equator weld. This removal rate is

significantly less than centrifugal barrel polishing but the system is lower in cost and easier to implement.

Recently, a 9-cell reentrant cavity was tumbled to fix equator weld defects [3]. Tumbling successfully repaired this cavity, which originally quenched at an accelerating gradient of 15 MV/m. The cavity now reaches an accelerating gradient exceeding 30 MV/m, figure 4. This shows that tumbling is a good way to repair defects, like pits.

After tumbling, processing with VEP (removed ~180 μm), 600°C baking for 10 hours, and micro-VEP (removed ~25 μm) the 9-cell reentrant cavity had a lower quality factor than expected. Most likely this is due to insufficient hydrogen-degassing of the larger quantity of hydrogen absorbed during tumbling and subsequent EP. More work is planned to complete the development of the tumbling/degassing techniques for the production of high-Q and high-gradient cavities.

### ALTERNATE CAVITY DESIGNS

Cornell is pursuing alternate cavity shapes which are designed to minimize the ratio of surface magnetic field to accelerating gradient in order to increase the maximum accelerating gradient limited by the RF critical field of niobium. One proposed shape, the Cornell reentrant design [7] achieved accelerating gradients exceeding 50 MV/m in single cell tests at Cornell [8] after post-purification (at Cornell), tumbling (at KEK) and EP (at KEK). Implementing this achievement in 9-cell cavities is the area of active work.

A joint venture between Advanced Energy Systems, Inc., and Cornell has fabricated two multi-cell reentrant cavities: a 9-cell and a 3-cell. The 9-cell reentrant




Figure 4: RF performance of the two multi-cell reentrant cavities fabricated in a joint effort between AES and Cornell University. Four RF performance curves are shown: 1) the 9-cell cavity at 2.0 K limited by a pit-defect, 2) the 9-cell cavity at 1.7 K after tumbling-repair of pit-defect, 3) the 3-cell cavity after its first cooldown at 1.6 K, and 4) the 3-cell cavity after a warm-up to 100°C-135°C for 30 hours and a rapid cool to 1.6 K.

cavity’s accelerating gradient increased dramatically after we employed second sound detectors to locate the

gradient limiting pit-defect, tumbling to repair the defect, and vertical electropolishing. The lower Q is most likely due to excess hydrogen contamination accumulated during tumbling. We found similar low-Q behavior with the 3-cell reentrant cavity which was also tumbled to smooth out the equator weld region and hydrogen-degassed with the standard temperature and time. The Q-disease showed up in the 3-cell after parking the cavity in the dangerous temperature zone (100 – 150 K).

### ALTERNATE PROCESSING

Electropolishing (EP) is a critical treatment step for the production of niobium cavities with accelerating gradients > 35 MV/m. The majority of EP facilities are using the continuous EP method developed at KEK [9] with the cavity held in the horizontal orientation. We have developed an alternative method, vertical electropolish, which was designed to be less expensive and easy to install to support the mass-processing of cavities during ILC construction, refer to [4, 10]. The continuous VEP procedure:

- Eliminates rotary acid seals
- Eliminates sliding electrical contacts
- Eliminates the cavity vertical/horizontal position control fixturing
- Simplifies the acid plumbing/containment

We have employed the VEP procedure in the past year during the processing of two 9-cell cavities and numerous 5-cell, 3-cell, and single cell cavities. The aim of this work is to successfully process and test 9-cell ILC cavities with accelerating gradients > 35 MV/m. Defects have prevented the 9-cell ILC cavities processed with VEP from reaching the gradient goal in the  $\pi$ -mode. However, we have demonstrated, in individual cells, accelerating gradients which exceed 35 MV/m in two different 9-cell cavities processed with VEP: a reentrant 9-cell cavity fabricated by AES/Cornell and the TESLA-style 9-cell cavity ACCEL-9. Refer to table 1 for the mode measurement table for one of these cavity tests and to reference [3] for details of the reentrant 9-cell cavity test.

Table 1: Mode Measurement Table ACCEL-9

Mode	$E_{pk}(MV/m)$	Quench Location
$\pi$	51.4	Cell 1
$8\pi/9$	45.9	Cell 1
$7\pi/9$	Under Analysis	Cell 5, under analysis.
$6\pi/9$	48.8	Cell 1
$5\pi/9$	76.5	Cell 5
$4\pi/9$	60.6	Cell 4
$3\pi/9$	77.0	Cell 5
$2\pi/9$	29.8	No Quench
$\pi/9$	Not Tested	Not Tested

### NEW VENDOR CAVITY EVALUATION

To build the ~14,000 cavities required for the ILC each of the three world regions must have a sizable industrial base of qualified companies to draw cavities from [1]. We have recently prequalified two new cavity vendors, Niowave/Roark [11] and AES, Inc. All of the cavities manufactured by these companies have past qualification tests without quench.

### SUMMARY

The Cornell SRF program is assisting in all areas of ILC cavity prototyping, testing, repair, and the associated basic R&D to improve processes. We have many one-of-a-kind facilities which complement existing and developing programs. We will continue to develop new techniques for the reliable production of high-gradient cavities.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Aaron Windsor, Phil Barnes, John Kaufman, Eric Smith, and Nick Szabo for their help and insight into many aspects of the work reviewed here.

### REFERENCES

- [1] www.linearcollider.org
- [2] Z.A. Conway, et al, "Defect Location in Superconducting Niobium Cavities Cooled with He-II Using Oscillating Superleak Transducers," PAC 2009, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, May 2009, TU5PFP044.
- [3] Z.A. Conway, et al, "Multi-Cell Reentrant Cavity Development and Testing at Cornell," PAC 2009, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, May 2009, TU5PFP047.
- [4] R.L. Geng, et al, "Vertical Electropolishing Niobium Cavities," The proceedings of the 12<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on RF Superconductivity, Ithaca, New York, July 2005, THP04.
- [5] J. Knoblock, "Advanced Thermometry Studies of Superconducting RF Cavities," Ph.D. Dissertation, Cornell University (1997).
- [6] R.L. Geng, et al, "Fabrication and Performance of Superconducting RF Cavities for the Cornell ERL Injector," PAC 2007, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA, WEPMS007, Pg. 2340 (2007); www.jacow.org.
- [7] V. Shemelin, et al, "Optimal Cells for TESLA Accelerating Structure," Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 496 Pg. 1-7 (2003).
- [8] R.L. Geng, et al, "High Gradient Studies for ILC with Single-Cell Re-Entrant Shape and Elliptical Shape Cavities Made of Fine-Grain and Large-Grain Niobium," PAC 2007, Albuquerque, NM, USA, June 2007, WEPMS006, p. 2337; http://www.jacow.org.
- [9] K. Saito, et al, "Electropolishing of L-Band Cavities," in The 4<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on RF Superconductivity, Tsukuba, Japan, p. 635-695 (1989).
- [10] A.C. Crawford and H.S. Padamsee, "A Procedure for Vertically Oriented Multicell Niobium Radiofrequency Cavities," PAC 2009, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, May 2009, TU5PFP050.
- [11] Z.A. Conway, et al, "Status of Niowave/Roark Vendor Qualification Tests at Cornell," PAC 2009, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, May 2009, TU5PFP045.