ISBN: 978-3-95450-224-0 ISSN: 2673-7035 doi:10.18429/JACoW-FLS2023-TU4P13

AN INTRODUCTION TO THE UK XFEL CONCEPTUAL DESIGN AND OPTIONS ANALYSIS

D. J. Dunning*, D. Angal-Kalinin, J. A. Clarke, J. R. Henderson, S. L. Mathisen,
B. L. Militsyn, M. D. Roper, E. W. Snedden, N. R. Thompson, D. A. Walsh, P. H. Williams,
ASTeC, STFC Daresbury Laboratory and Cockcroft Institute, Sci-Tech Daresbury, Warrington, UK
P. Aden, B. D. Fell, Tech. Dept., STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Sci-Tech Daresbury, Warrington, UK
J. L. Collier, J. S. Green, Central Laser Facility, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, UK
J. P. Marangos, Department of Physics, Imperial College London, Blackett Laboratory, London, UK

Abstract

In October 2022, the UK XFEL project entered a new phase to explore how best to deliver the advanced XFEL capabilities identified in the project's Science Case. This phase includes developing a conceptual design for a unique new machine to fulfil the required capabilities and more. It also examines the possibility of investment opportunities at existing XFELs to deliver the same aims, and a comparison of the various options will be made. The desired next-generation capabilities include transform-limited operation across the entire X-ray range with pulse durations ranging from 100 as to 100 fs; evenly spaced high rep. rate pulses for enhanced data acquisition rates; optimised multi-colour FEL pulse delivery and a full array of synchronised sources (XUV-THz sources, electron beams and high power/high energy lasers). The project also incorporates sustainability as a key criteria. This contribution gives an overview of progress to date and future plans.

INTRODUCTION

In early 2019, the UK initiated a project to develop the science case for a UK XFEL, which was published in 2020 [1, 2]. Subsequent exercises demonstrated the support of the UK community and in June 2022, UK Research and Innovation announced funding for the next phase of the project: a 3-year conceptual design and options analysis (CDOA), which started in October 2022. This phase includes developing a conceptual design for a unique new UK machine, alongside examining investment opportunities at existing facilities e.g. [3–12], both with the aim of realising 'next-generation' XFEL capabilities (the features of which are discussed below). By the end of this phase of the project (October 2025) we will have:

- mapped out how best to deliver advanced XFEL capabilities identified in the Science Case;
- explored a conceptual design for a unique new machine that can fulfil all required capabilities;
- examined other investment options and collaborations in existing XFELs;
- updated the Science Case to feed into the process and inform future decisions;
- held multiple Townhall Meetings around the UK engaging with the user community;
- investigated the socioeconomic impact of a next generation XFEL.

Year 1 has so far focused on the project launch, surveying the science requirements, preliminary engagement with overseas XFEL facilities, planning the Townhall meetings and initial conceptual design and layout work. Informed by work this year, Year 2 will focus on R&D targeting gaps in key physics and technology areas, including collaborative work with overseas XFEL facilities, and the continuation of Townhall meetings and other workshops. In Year 3, R&D activities will continue and the final CDOA report will be written, detailing the preferred options; including associated costs, socio-economic analysis, and an update to the Science Case. This paper gives an overview of progress to date.

NEXT-GENERATION XFEL CAPABILITIES

Starting from our Science Case, our project clearly sets an emphasis on enhancing XFEL capabilities and on widening access to such capabilities, defined as follows:

- Transform-limited operation across the entire X-ray range (initial focus on 0.1 - 20 keV and 100 as - 100 fs).
- High efficiency facility, with a step change in the simultaneous operation of multiple end stations.
- Evenly spaced, high rep. rate pulses to match samples & detectors.
- Improved synchronisation/timing data with external lasers to < 1 fs.
- Widely separated multiple colour X-rays to at least one end station.
- Full array of synchronised sources: XUV-THz, e-beams, high power & high energy lasers at high rep. rate.

This list of features results from both the Science Case and work in this phase, including a detailed survey of our science team, results of which are shown in Fig. 1. We are presently focusing our preliminary activities on the capabilities listed above, particularly the first two, which we consider to be the most challenging and fundamental to the machine design (see sections below). Other requested capabilities, e.g., higher photon energies will be considered beyond the preliminary focus and are briefly summarised below.

The options will ultimately be assessed on a range of criteria including the above capabilities, technology readiness level, environmental sustainability and cost.

* david.dunning@stfc.ac.uk

ISBN: 978-3-95450-224-0 ISSN: 2673-7035 doi:10.18429/JACoW-FLS2023-TU4P13

PROGRESS TOWARDS A CONCEPT FOR A NEXT-GENERATION FACILITY

We are presently in the early stages of defining our concept for a next-generation facility - the preliminary designs and ideas are presented here for discussion and to highlight potential collaboration opportunities.

Photon Energy, Repetition Rate and Pulse Energy

Figure 1 shows the photon energy and repetition rate requirements identified in the Science Case (grey boxes) and survey (coloured points - pulse energy requirements are also indicated for these). For our preliminary activities, we have identified the core requirements as being photon energies from 0.1 to 20 keV (at the fundamental) and with at least 100 kHz delivered to each experiment. Meeting the repetition rate requirement implies that we must operate with superconducting RF technology. We are assuming a repetition rate of ~1 MHz to allow multiplexing to multiple experiments. The beam energy should be around 8 GeV to reach the highest photon energies with high pulse energy. This preliminary working point is indicated as the shaded region in Fig. 1. Options for higher photon energy and/or pulse energy are described in a later section.



Figure 1: Photon energy, repetition rate and pulse energy requirements from the Science Case (grey boxes labelled by science area) and recent user survey (unlabelled points, colours represent different science areas), and approx. coverage provided by the proposed facility design parameters.

Transform-Limited Pulses

A key focus of our preliminary activities is the requirement for transform-limited operation across the entire X-ray range, from 0.1 - 20 keV and 100 as - 100 fs. 'Laser like X-rays' for users over such a broad range is a challenging aim that could be a distinguishing feature of a next-generation facility and R&D will be valuable to the international XFEL community. Figure 2 shows the photon energy-pulse length parameter space, with the preliminary focus region of 0.1 to 20 keV and 100 as to 100 fs indicated. The corresponding relative FWHM bandwidth for a transform-limited pulse within this space is indicated by contours, and indicative positions of some of the leading FEL techniques to meet the requirements are shown.

It is evident that several FEL techniques are required to cover such a large parameter space. Many such schemes are well established at international XFELs, however there remains much opportunity for development, e.g., to utilise advances in conventional lasers to drive external seeding as used at e.g., FERMI [5] to much higher rep. rate (~100 kHz) and to higher photon energy (potentially ~1-2 keV). Techniques for attosecond (e.g., XLEAP [13]) and narrow bandwidth (e.g., self-seeding [14, 15]) pulses are well-established and so present opportunities to pursue high rep. rate operation, increased tunability and other advanced features [16]. Techniques such as HB-SASE [17] (with potentially TW peak power, few-fs pulses at any wavelength & rep. rate [18]) and XFELO [19] are under experimental development. Furthermore, the prospect of operating multiple such techniques simultaneously is a major challenge and potentially a distinguishing feature of a next-generation machine.



Figure 2: The project's initial aim for transform-limited pulses from 0.1 to 20 keV and 100 as to 100 fs is shown by the black box in the photon energy-pulse length parameter space. The contours show the relative bandwidth of a transform-limited pulse, along with the estimated coverage of some relevant FEL techniques.

Simultaneous Operation of Multiple FELs

Another focus of our preliminary activities is to develop a concept for a high efficiency facility with a step change in the simultaneous operation of multiple end stations. Even given the pre-eminent capabilities of existing XFELs, it is widely recognised that it would be hugely beneficial to increase the scientific output from their investment. While challenging, this is a major opportunity to differentiate the next generation of XFELs from existing machines, and is already part of the thinking for upgrades to existing facilities.

ISBN: 978-3-95450-224-0 ISSN: 2673-7035 doi:10.18429/JACoW-FLS2023-TU4P13

Our initial thinking is that this capability would be best achieved through operating with fixed accelerator settings up to full energy, and so multiplexing ~1 MHz bunches with fixed properties to several undulator lines, each operating at ~100 kHz, potentially using kicker magnets as shown in Fig. 3. The fixed bunch properties at the end of the linac would then be manipulated within each FEL line to deliver the varying bunch requirements of the various FEL techniques described in the previous section. Significantly more work is required on such an approach but truly independent operation of multiple high-performance FEL lines would be highly advantageous. Bunch-to-bunch variation upstream of the spreader could also be considered, e.g., by laser pulse shaping [20] in the photoinjector or laser heater.



Figure 3: Initial FEL concept: electron bunches at ~1 MHz and ~8 GeV energy are divided e.g., by kicker magnets (blue triangles) to multiple independently tunable FEL lines at ~100 kHz, which each feed multiple end stations. The different bunch colours indicate which line they pass through, with different wavelengths set by the undulators parameters.

Assuming the electron beam energy to all FELs to be fixed at 8 GeV, then to cover 0.1 to 20 keV while allowing for some overlap in tuning is best covered by at least ~6 FELs as shown in Fig. 3. Potential operating ranges are shown in Fig. 4: these are indicative for ongoing discussions with our science team. Given the fixed electron beam energy, the relative width of the wavelength tuning range is narrower



Figure 4: Indicative coverage of the photon-energy-pulse length parameter space by 6 FELs, with colours corresponding to those in Fig. 3. These ranges are in the early stages of iteration with our science team.

for the higher photon energy FELs to optimise performance, and can be successively broader for lower photon energies. The FEL lines would likely have some specialisms in terms of techniques, e.g., FELs-5 and 6 could feature high rep. rate seeding, while others could focus on e.g., attosecond or narrow-bandwidth schemes. The intention for the spreader is to allow an easily scalable number of lines, which could encompass both FELs and other uses of the electron beam. Figure 3 shows two end stations per line, which is again indicative and is the subject of discussion within our team.

Combining FEL Lines

A feature under consideration from an early stage due to its likely significant impact on the design is that of combining output from multiple FELs. This isn't shown in Fig. 3 but essentially builds on our concept for CompactLight [21]: the bunch pattern from the injector is adjusted to bring two bunches into adjacent RF cycles, such that they initially traverse the same FEL line, then a GHz subharmonic deflecting cavity is used to deflect one bunch onto an adjacent line. The FEL pulses can then be combined, with time of flight matching/scanning using electron delay chicanes.

Beyond the Preliminary Focus

Beyond the preliminary focus, there are several other capabilities that will be considered. Very high pulse energies of 10-100 mJ or higher have been requested in some cases, e.g., for study of matter in extreme conditions. Very high photon energies are also of interest, i.e., above 20 keV, towards 50 - 100 keV. In both cases we will consider how these could be incorporated into a UK XFEL design, potentially with a booster to increase electron beam energy and/or brightness in one of the lines post-spreader. Synchronous sources will be a major part of our proposal. The present focus is on laser-based NIR-visible sources, which are most highly demanded, and we will also consider the best way to deliver THz radiation. Calls for electrons, protons, ions and gamma sources will be explored further with our science team.

NEXT STEPS

Our present work is focused on developing the concept and analysing its implications for the main technology areas (e.g., [22]). This includes identifying key R&D areas and taking steps to undertake the required work both within the project team and in collaboration with international partners. A series of Townhall meetings is underway to extend the UK user community and to update the Science Case.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

While the authorship of this paper is limited to the facility design leads and our Science Lead, we would like to recognise the innovative contributions and advice of all our team and international collaborators.

ISBN: 978-3-95450-224-0 ISSN: 2673-7035 doi:10.18429/JACoW-FLS2023-TU4P13

REFERENCES

[1] *UK XFEL Project Website*. `https://xfel.ac.uk`

[2] J. Marangos *et al.*, "UK XFEL Science Case," UK Research and Innovation, Science and Technology Facilities Council, Tech. Rep., 2020.

[3] W. Ackermann *et al.*, "Operation of a free-electron laser from the extreme ultraviolet to the water window," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 336–342, 2007. `doi:10.1038/nphoton.2007.76`

[4] P. Emma *et al.*, "First lasing and operation of an angstrom-wavelength free-electron laser," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 4, p. 641, 2010. `doi:10.1038/nphoton.2010.176`

[5] E. Allaria *et al.*, "Highly coherent and stable pulses from the FERMI seeded free-electron laser in the extreme ultraviolet," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. 699–704, 2012. `doi:10.1038/nphoton.2012.233`

[6] T. Ishikawa *et al.*, "A compact X-ray free-electron laser emitting in the sub-angstrom region," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 6, p. 540, 2012. `doi:10.1038/nphoton.2012.141`

[7] H.-S. Kang *et al.*, "Hard X-ray free-electron laser with femtosecond-scale timing jitter," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 708–713, 2017. `doi:10.1038/s41566-017-0029-8`

[8] C. J. Milne *et al.*, "SwissFEL: The Swiss X-ray Free Electron Laser," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 7, 2017. `doi:10.3390/app7070720`

[9] W. Decking *et al.*, "A MHz-repetition-rate hard X-ray free-electron laser driven by a superconducting linear accelerator," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 391–397, 2020. `doi:10.1038/s41566-020-0607-z`

[10] B. Liu *et al.*, "The SXFEL Upgrade: From Test Facility to User Facility," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2022. `doi:10.3390/app12010176`

[11] R. W. Schoenlein *et al.*, "New Science Opportunities Enabled by LCLS-II X-ray Lasers," Tech. Rep., 2015, SLAC-R-1053.

[12] Z. Zhu, Z. T. Zhao, D. Wang, Z. H. Yang, and L. Yin, "SCLF: An 8-GeV CW SCRF Linac-Based X-Ray FEL Facility in Shanghai," in *Proc. FEL'17*, Santa Fe, NM, USA, Aug. 2017, pp. 182–184. `doi:10.18429/JACoW-FEL2017-MOP055`

[13] J. Duris *et al.*, "Tunable isolated attosecond X-ray pulses with gigawatt peak power from a free-electron laser," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 30–36, 2020. `doi:10.1038/s41566-019-0549-5`

[14] G. Geloni *et al.*, "A novel self-seeding scheme for hard X-ray FELs," *J. Mod. Opt.*, vol. 58, pp. 1391–1403, 2011. `doi:10.1080/09500340.2011.586473`

[15] J. Amann *et al.*, "Demonstration of self-seeding in a hard-X-ray free-electron laser," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 6, p. 693, 2012. `doi:10.1038/nphoton.2012.180`

[16] M. Coku and N. Thompson, "Investigation of attosecond pulse generation schemes for UK XFEL," Venice, Italy, May 2023, presented at IPAC'23, Venice, Italy, May 2023, paper TUPL072, to appear in the proceedings.

[17] B. W. J. McNeil, N. R. Thompson, and D. J. Dunning, "Transform-limited x-ray pulse generation from a high-brightness self-amplified spontaneous-emission free-electron laser," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 110, p. 134 802, 2013. `doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.134802`

[18] N. Thompson, "Taper-enhanced high-brightness SASE for stable temporally coherent HXR FEL pulses," Venice, Italy, May 2023, presented at IPAC'23, Venice, Italy, May 2023, paper TUPL007, to appear in the proceedings.

[19] K.-J. Kim, Y. Shvyd'ko, and S. Reiche, "A proposal for an x-ray free-electron laser oscillator with an energy-recovery linac," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 100, p. 244 802, 2008. `doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.244802`

[20] A. Pollard, D. Dunning, E. Snedden, and W. Okell, "Machine learning for laser pulse shaping," Venice, Italy, May 2023, presented at IPAC'23, Venice, Italy, May 2023, paper THPL034, to appear in the proceedings.

[21] G. D'Auria *et al.*, "Conceptual Design Report of the CompactLight X-ray FEL," Tech. Rep. XLS-Report-2021-010, XLS Deliverable D2.3, 2021. `doi:10.5281/zenodo.6375645`

[22] C. Davut, O. Apsimon, S. S. Percival, and B. L. Militsyn, "Injection into XFELs, a Review of Trends and Challenges," presented at FLS'23, Lucerne, Switzerland, Aug 2023, paper TU4P12, this conference.